



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/583,177 | 05/30/2000 | Bijendra N Jain | M-7915US | 5355 |

33031 7590 02/23/2005

CAMPBELL STEPHENSON ASCOLESE, LLP
4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD.
BLDG. 4, SUITE 201
AUSTIN, TX 78759

EXAMINER

LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2131

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/583,177 | JAIN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Christian La Forgia | 2131 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christian La Forgia.

(3) Sam Campbell.

(2) Cyrus Bharucha.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 27-30, 32-36, 38-43, 45-49 and 51-59.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent Nos. 6,195,553, 5,596,719, 6,058,103, and 6,212,171.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The representative for Applicant discussed the independent claims with regards to two limitations specifically. The Examiner explained his interpretation of the claim language. The Examiner suggested further clarification for the terms independent and non-independent rows. The representative for Applicant will submit a formal amendment at which time the Examiner will proceed with further consideration and an updated search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Applicant Initiated Interview RequestApplication No. 09/583,177First Named Applicant: JAINExaminer: La ForgiaArt Unit: 2131Status: Non-Final RejectionTentative Participants: Cyrus Bharucha Sam CampbellProposed Date: Any time among the following, as convenient to the Examiner:Wednesday, 2/16/2005, starting between 11am and 5pm ESTThursday, 2/17/2005, starting between 9am and 5pm ESTFriday, 2/18/2005, starting between 11am and 5pm ESTType of Interview Requested: TelephonicExhibits to be shown: No**Issues to be Discussed**

| Issues | Claims / Figs | Prior Art | Discussed | Agreed | Not Agreed |
|---------------|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) Rejection | <u>27, 40, 53</u> | <u>Claffery, LaFollette</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (2) Rejection | <u>27-30, 32-36,</u> <u>38-43, 45-49,</u> <u>51-59</u> | <u>Claffery, Henderson,</u> <u>Ramakrishnan, LaFollette</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Brief Description of Discussion Topics:Relevance of cited art to claimed subject matter.For example, Applicant wishes to discuss the LaFollette reference as it relates to the limitations of the claims listed above.Applicant also wishes to discuss the combination of the references cited under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Cyrus F. Bharucha, Reg. No 42,324
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Examiner/SPE Signature



CAMPBELL
STEPHENSON
ASCOLESE LLP

4807 Spicewood Springs Road
Building 4, Suite 201
Austin, Texas 78759
T: 512-439-5080
F: 512-439-5099

***** U R G E N T *****

**PLEASE FORWARD TO
CHRISTIAN LAFORGIA**

To: Mr. Christian LaForgia

Fax No. 571-273-3792

Phone No.:

From: Cyrus F. Bharucha, Reg. No. 42,324

Application No.: 09/583,177

Attorney Docket No.: CIS0043US

| | |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Date Sent: | February 15, 2005 |
| | |
| Fax Operator: | Roz Donaldson |

This transmittal consists of 2 page(s), including this cover sheet.

Re: Applicant: Bijendra N. Jain and Keith McCloghrie
Assignee: Cisco Technology, Inc.
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
ESTIMATING DELAY AND JITTER
BETWEEN NETWORK ROUTERS
Application No.: 09/583,177
Filed: May 30, 2000
Attorney Docket No.: CIS0043US

The following documents are being transmitted to you via facsimile for the above-identified application:

- (1) This Fax Cover Sheet (1 page)
- (2) Applicant Initiated Interview Request (1 page)

Please call me if you have any questions. Thank you and have a nice day!

If you do not receive all pages, please call Roz (512) 439-5082

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENT(S) NAMED ABOVE. THIS MESSAGE MAY BE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION, AND AS SUCH IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR AN AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS DOCUMENT IN ERROR AND THAT ANY REVIEW, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US BY MAIL. THANK YOU.